

In accordance with my discussion with you on Wednesday, I am giving below the names of individuals who you might consider as potential members of the Medical Advisory Committee for the tobacco industry, as related to its current medical problem.

1. Medical scientists who have had wide experience in the field of medical research and its relationship to industry. These individuals have worked extensively with various industries.

- (a) KEHOE, DR. ROBERT ARTHUR
- (b) LANZA, DR. ANTHONY JOSEPH
- (c) MACHLE, DR. WILLARD

2. Individuals who have an extensive background in Chemistry and in Biochemistry as related to experimental cancer and other experimental biological work.

- (a) NELSON, DR. NORTON
- (b) HEYROTH, DR. FRANCIS FARNHAM
- (c) REHNER, DR. JOHN, JR.

(Dr. Rehner is with the Standard Oil Development Company, and was principally responsible for the isolation of pure chemical carcinogens from petroleum in the work that we carried out when I was with the Standard Oil Company (New Jersey).)

3. Physicians who specialize in internal medicine.

- (a) AUB, DR. JOSEPH CHARLES

4. Outstanding scientists in the field of experimental medicine, including cancer research and/or environmental health problems.

- (a) RHOADS, DR. CORNELIUS PACKARD
- (b) CARLSON, DR. ANTON JULIUS  
(Dr. Carlson is in his late seventies; however, he is still quite active, and is one of the outstanding physiologists in the world.)
- (c) DRINKER, DR. PHILIP
- (d) SIMPSON, DR. WILLIAM LOYAL

5. Epidemiologists.

- (a) PHAIR, DR. JOHN  
(Dr. Phair might be of considerable value, because for several years he has been carrying out an epidemiological study of cancer as related to the petroleum industry.)

6. Statistician

- (a) DUBLIN, DR. LOUIS  
(I am not certain whether Dr. Dublin is still alive. I have not had time to look into this question, but I included his name for the sake of completeness.)

7. Others

The Director of Saranac Lake Laboratories might well be a person worthy of consideration. At the moment, his name escapes me, and I have not had time to look it up. I will do this and let you know.

- (b) HUEPER, DR. WILHELM C.  
(Dr. Hueper is an outstanding authority in the field of environmental cancer. However, he is with the United States Public Health Service, and, for this reason, you may not want to have him on this committee. He is a person you will want to learn more about.)

- (c) FISCHER, MR. H. O. M. \*  
(Mr. Fischer is an executive with the Standard Oil Company, with training as a chemist. He was an important executive administrator in the cancer studies that we carried out relative to the petroleum industry, when I was with the Standard Oil Company (N. J.). Mr. Fischer is a brilliant man, and his advice on any subject relative to chemical engineering and various processes in industry is always interesting and worthwhile.)

(d) GRAHAM, DR. EVARTS A.

(As you know, Dr. Graham is a St. Louis surgeon who has presented evidence that certain tars are carcinogenic to mice. I do not know much about Dr. Graham other than that I believe that he has a good reputation as a surgeon. Sometimes it is advisable to bring your most severe critic into your own group. I do not know that this is the case in the present situation, and, as a matter of fact, I rather doubt it. However, I mention the matter for whatever it may be worth to you.)

If you are going to consider individuals from foreign countries, then I might suggest the following persons:

(a) Dr. A. HADDOW\* who is, I believe, Director of Chester Beatty Research Institute, in London.

(b) DR. H. DRUCKREY\* of Friburg University in Breslau, Germany.

I believe my list is a little short on surgeons. However, there aren't many surgeons who have much "know-how" relative to the problem under consideration. Don't show this to any surgeons, because I am sure they would disagree with my statement. With regard to this, the name of DR. FRANK ADAIR, who is connected with Memorial Hospital, in New York City, and who has a large surgical practice, might be worthy of consideration.

I have named more people than you probably wish to have on your committee; I have done this intentionally, in order that you may pick and choose.

Attached is a biographical sketch of each of the above individuals as taken from the 1949 Edition of AMERICAN MEN OF SCIENCE.

I will continue to give the matter some thought, and if any other suggestions come to mind, I will get in touch with you.

I enjoyed my visit with you on Wednesday very much. It was a pleasure to discuss this problem, as well as to visit your laboratories.